

## Er du tilfreds med din pensionisttilværelse?

Hvis du har værdipapirinvesteringer for mere end 2,5 mio kroner, kan du downloade en guide, beregnet til pensionsopsparende, skrevet af bestseller-forfatteren og formueforvalteren Ken Fisher's selskab. Guiden hedder **"Pensionsplan – på 15 minutter"**. Selvom du allerede har en plan, vil det være en god ide at få vejledningen!

[Klik her for at downloade planen!](#)

FISHER INVESTMENTS NORDEN™



# Are Dansk Psykolog Forening & Psykolognævnet Corrupt, Racist & Sexist Against Fathers?

11. august 2014 06:57

Visninger  [676] Debat  [0]

"Justitsmord" Cover-up : Are [Dansk Psykolog Forening](#) & [Psykolognævnet](#) Corrupt, Racist & Sexist Against Fathers?

(article also available at this link [here](#))

By [Justice Soldier](#)

Latest revision : 09-10-2014 (this is *still* a work in progress)

Share this article on: [Facebook](#) • [Twitter](#) • [Google+](#) • [LinkedIn](#)



### ABOUT THIS ARTICLE (PREAMBLE & INTRODUCTION)

● In a nutshell: This case is all about CHILDREN.

"Justitsmord" is the Danish word for [miscarriage of justice](#) which literally translates to "justice murder".



([picture source](#))

## Justice Soldier

Justice Soldier 1972

### Nyt job: Støbetekniker / Plastmager



Lego System A/S  
Billund

ofir.dk  
ger jobbet

## BLOG: Justitsmord Cover-up

In a nutshell: This case is all about CHILDREN.

This blog is an **exposé** regarding "Justitsmord" (miscarriage of justice) and related cover-up involving several Danish state authorities and government ministers.



Due to **Danish state corruption**, **'Institutional Racism'**, and **institutional sexism** against **fathers**, three years ago, my time with my children was **illegally and fraudulently** changed and reduced from 1 week every other week, to just 4 days every other week.

I have a claim on breaches of several sections of Danish Penal Law (**Straffeloven §146, §155, §156, §157, §163, §215, §261**) by the authorities involved.

**Links to actual (anonymised) case documentation proving "Justitsmord" are provided in the articles.**

● **The situation is very serious:** Every decision made by [Statsforvaltningen](#), [Ankerstyrelsen](#) [Familieretsafdeling](#), [Psykolognævnet](#), and Kommunes - especially those involving '[børnesagkyndige undersøgelser](#)' (*English: child welfare investigation*) conducted by **psychologists** who are authorised by [Psykolognævnet](#) since at least **2009** will have to be investigated (and I believe by the police)...and people involved will have to '**resign**' (or be **fired**!).

**The above information explains the situation completely!**

**To find out more, keep reading!**

**This in-depth and rather long article focuses on the involvement of Dansk Psykolog Forening & Psykolognævnet.**

● **Miscarriage of Justice, Corruption, Racism & Sexism:** Due to Danish state institutional corruption, institutional racism, and institutional sexism against fathers, three years ago in February 2011, my time with my children was **illegally and fraudulently** changed and reduced from 1 week every other week, to just 4 days every other week by [Statsforvaltningen](#) on the basis of an **invalid '[børnesagkyndige undersøgelser](#)'** (*English: child welfare investigation*) conducted by a **psychologist** who is a member of [Dansk Psykolog Forening](#).

● **Report to the Police (pending):** I have a claim on breeches of several sections of Danish Penal Law ([Straffeloven §146](#), [§155](#), [§156](#), [§157](#), [§163](#), [§215](#), [§261](#)) by **staff** from the authorities and **psychologists** involved with my case.

● **PROOF:** Later in this article I explain exactly "**how they did it**"...and how they tried to cover it up.

Links to actual (anonymised) case documentation to/from the authorities involved proving "**Justismord**" and "**cover-up**" are provided in the articles.

● **Related Articles:** This article is related to and based on my other "Justismord Cover-up" articles:-

["Justismord" Cover-up : Should Statsforvaltningen Direktør Helle Haxgart Resign \(be fired\)?](#)

["Justismord" Cover-up : Are Statsforvaltningen & Ankerstyrelsen Familieretsafdeling Corrupt, Racist & Sexist Against Fathers?](#)

["Justismord" Cover-up : Should Ankerstyrelsens Afdelingschef Dorrit Sylvest Nielsen Resign \(be fired\)?](#)

["Justismord" Cover-up : Should Knotorchef Malene Vestergaard from Ministry of Social Affairs Resign \(be fired\)?](#)

["Justismord" Cover-up : Case Documentation](#)

**This article focuses on the involvement of Dansk Psykolog Forening & Psykolognævnet.**

● **FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION**



**The situation is very serious:** Every decision made by Statsforvaltningen, Ankerstyrelsen Familieretsafdeling, Psykolognævnet, and Kommunes - especially those involving 'børnesagkyndige undersøgelser' (*English: child welfare investigation*) since at least 2009 - will have to be investigated by the **police** and people involved will have to '**resign**' or be '**fired**'

INDLÆG | 13

10.236

0

SIDEN | 14. MARTS 2014 08:09

# ARTICLE 19

"Everyone has the right to freedom  
of opinion and expression;  
this right includes  
freedom to hold opinions without interference  
and to seek, receive and impart information  
and ideas through any media  
and regardless of frontiers."  
- Article 19; Universal Declaration of Human Rights

([picture source](#))

This case is truly in the public interest.

This case cannot withstand the light of day.. *Sagen tåler ikke dagens lys!*

I assert my rights to freedom of expression as described under Article 10 of the [European Convention on Human Rights](#), and Article 19 of [The Universal Declaration of Human Rights](#), , and [§77 of The Constitution of Denmark](#).

**This article is written mainly in the English language to increase the exposure of this article (outside of Denmark).**

Share this article on: [Facebook](#) • [Twitter](#) • [Google+](#) • [LinkedIn](#)

## CONTENTS

This article contains the following sections:-

- 1) WITHOUT CONSCIENCE: Breaking the law, good morals, and good ethics
- 2) About Dansk Psykolog Forening
- 3) About PSYKOLOGNÆVNET
- 4) ABOUT STATSFORVALTNINGEN (and its role)
- 5) ABOUT ANKESTYRELSEN (and its role)
- 6) ABOUT "Børnesagkyndige Undersøgelser" (English: Child Welfare Investigation)
- 7) THE MOTHER'S FALSE ACCUSATIONS & 'SMOKING GUN'
- 8) HOW THEY DID IT
- 9) ANKESTYRELSEN'S TWO DILEMMAS
- 10) MY COMPLAINT TO PSYKOLOGNÆVNET & PSYKOLOGNÆVNET'S DECISION ("COVER-UP"?)
- 11) PSYCHOLOGIST VS PSYCHOLOGIST
- 12) Dansk Psykolog Forening's Credibility (SHOULD Formand Eva Secher Mathiasen RESIGN?)
- 13) INSTITUTIONAL CORRUPTION, RACISM & SEXISM
- 14) POLICE REPORT
- 15) Challenging the Null Hypothesis and Conclusion
- 16) IF YOU ARE A JOURNALIST
- 17) CASE DOCUMENTATION

**1) WITHOUT CONSCIENCE: Breaking the law, good morals, and good ethics**



([picture source](#))

### **•Inappropriate and Unprofessional conduct**

It is clear from the documentation that I disclose, that the behaviour, work, and decisions by the involved staff (and contracted persons) from the authorities involved was '**inappropriate** and **unprofessional**'.

In the UK, as part of the "[Stephen Lawrence Inquiry](#)", '[Institutional Racism](#)' was defined by [Sir William Macpherson \(retired judge\)](#) as follows:-

*"Institutional racism: the collective failure of an organization to provide an **appropriate** and **professional** service to people because of their colour, culture, or ethnic origin".*

The above definition can also be used to define **institutional corruption**, **Institutional racism** and **institutional sexism** against **fathers**.

I will write more about this later in this article, but for now, whilst reading the rest of this article, keep asking yourself did the people involved with this case behave **appropriately** and **professionally**.

### **•The law, good morals, and good ethics**

There are serious **legal**, **moral**, and **ethical** problems relating to this case, and I do not believe this case to be unique.

I have a claim on breeches of several sections of Danish Penal Law ([Straffeloven](#)[§146](#), [§155](#), [§156](#), [§157](#), [§163](#), [§215](#), [§261](#)) by **staff** from the authorities and **psychologists** involved with my case.

The people involved with this case are *obviously* highly intelligent and highly educated.

The people involved know Danish law and related guidelines sufficiently well.

At least one person involved has even worked previously in some capacity for the police, and therefore must have a *working knowledge* of Danish Penal Law ([Straffeloven](#)).

The people involved know what they are doing and what they have done.

**The people involved are knowingly acting "[without conscience](#)".**

## **2) About Dansk Psykolog Forening (and its involvement)**



## •Who are Dansk Psykolog Forening?

"Facts about **Dansk Psykolog Forening** ([source](#))

**Danish Psychological Association** (Danish: Dansk Psykolog Forening) is the professional organization of psychologists in Denmark. The association was founded by 37 psychologists June 23, 1947.

Members are MSc., MA Educational Psychology., MA. and psychology student at Copenhagen, Aarhus, Aalborg University.

The association's near 10,000 members working in Denmark's private and **public sectors**. They are public and private employees, managers and self-employed with organizational psychology companies and private practice clinics.

Psychologists working with the development, treatment, well-being, therapy, management, project management, communication and marketing - in all industries and business types.

**Danish Psychological Association** is part of an open and close cooperation with other unions, in particular the members of academics. DP works with the other psychological associations in the Nordic, European ([www.efpa.eu](http://www.efpa.eu)) and internationally ([www.iupsys.net](http://www.iupsys.net))."

## •Dansk Psykolog Forenings Formand



**PHOTO:** Eva Secher Mathiasen Cand.psych.aut. ([source Claus Bjørn Larsen](#))

**Danish Psychological Association's Chairman** is Eva Secher Mathiasen Cand.psych.aut.

Chairman duties and responsibilities include, among others, the daily political leader of the association, representation of the association externally with stakeholders. ([source](#))

Naturally, ensuring that The Danish Psychological Association behaves ethically is also one of the Chairman's duties - this must surely apply without mention.

## •Guidelines on Child Welfare Investigations:

The Danish National Board of Appeals (Danish: [Ankestyrelsens Familieretsafdeling](#) i.e. Familiestyrelsen), in cooperation with [Dansk Psykolog Forening](#), has been drawn up the Guidelines on Child Welfare Investigations (Danish 'Vejledning om børnesagkyndige undersøgelser'. See [here](#) and [here](#) in Danish).

The Guidelines on Child Welfare Investigations contains, among other things, a minimum requirement (Danish: *Udgangspunktet*) for the work that must be done by the psychologist.

**It goes without saying that any Child Welfare Investigations that do not meet the minimum requirement set out in the guidelines is, of course, invalid.**

I will explain more about the Guidelines on Child Welfare Investigations later on in this article.

## •Ethical Principles for Nordic Psychologists:

The Danish Psychological Association state that "The professional ethical principles are binding on all members of the Nordic

psychologist associations when carrying out all forms of psychological professional activities." ([source](#))

The **Ethical Principles for Nordic Psychologist** are organised into four main principles ([source](#)):-

- Respect for the client's rights and dignity
- Competence
- Responsibility
- Integrity

The Danish Psychological Association are also bound by the **Ethical Principles for Nordic Psychologist**.

I will refer again to the four main principles of the **Ethical Principles for Nordic Psychologist** later in the article.

#### ●**The Danish Psychological Association Involvement:**

The psychologist who performed the invalid Child Welfare Investigation is a member of the The Danish Psychological Association.

Also, I have provided information to The Danish Psychological Association and made them aware that there is a much greater problem.

I will explain more about my contact to The **Danish Psychological Association's Chairman** Eva Secher Mathiasen Cand.psych.aut., later on in this article.

### **3) ABOUT PSYKOLOGNÆVNET (and its involvement)**



#### ●**Who are Psykolognævnet?**

'Psykolognævnet' is the "The Danish Supervisory Board of Psychological Practice"([source](#)).

The Danish Supervisory Board of Psychological Practice duties are:-

- Authorisation of psychologists
- Recognition of foreign qualifications as a psychologist
- Supervision of the work of authorised psychologists.

#### ●**Psychologists as a "Protected title"**

In Denmark the word "Psychologist" is a protected title.

Only persons who have graduated with a degree at Masters level in psychology from a university or other institution of higher learning or has an equivalent education are entitled to use the title psychologist (psykolog) in Denmark.

The title "autoriseret psykolog" (authorised psychologist) is also a protected title. Only persons who have received authorisation as psychologist by the Danish Supervisory Board of Psychological Practice are entitled to use the title "autoriseret psykolog" (authorised psychologist).

Individuals who use the title "psykolog" (psychologist) or "autoriseret psykolog" (authorised psychologist) without the above prerequisites, shall be subject to penalty by fine.

([source](#))

### ●Authorisation of psychologists

Psychologists in Denmark can apply for authorisation in accordance with [the law act on the rights and duties of psychologists](#) (Danish: *Psykologlov*).

Authorisation is not mandatory for the practice of psychology in Denmark, but it is requested within certain areas of work.

([source](#))

Permission to practice psychology under the title "authorised psychologist" in Denmark is authorised by [The Danish Supervisory Board of Psychological Practice](#) (Danish: *Psykolognævnet*).

### ●Supervision of the work of authorised psychologists

The Danish Supervisory Board of Psychological Practice supervises the professional work of authorised psychologists in Denmark.

The board handles complaints of the work carried out by authorised psychologist, but the board may also on it's own initiative take necessary action against an authorised psychologist.

([source](#))

**NOTE:** Non-authorized psychologists are "supervised" by the Ethical Board (Danish: Etiknævn) of The Danish Psychological Association.

### ●The law act on the rights and duties of psychologists (Danish: *Psykologlov*)

The rights and obligations of an authorised psychologist are stated Chapter 2 of [The law act on the rights and duties of psychologists](#) (Danish: *Psykologlov*)

Concerning the rights and obligations of the psychologist, section 12 states the following:-

**§ 12** An authorized psychologist shall show **solicitude (care)** and **conscientiousness** in the course of exercising his or her practice.

### ●Complaints and The Ethical Principles for Nordic Psychologist

The [Ethical Principles for Nordic Psychologist](#) states the following in the second to last paragraph:-

The principles are a basis to consider complaints about psychologists ([source](#)).

Therefore, The Danish Supervisory Board of Psychological Practice has to take both The [Ethical Principles for Nordic Psychologist](#) and [The law act on the rights and duties of psychologists](#) (Danish: *Psykologlov*) when handling complaints against authorised psychologists.

This means that The Danish Supervisory Board of Psychological Practice has to also show **solicitude (care)** and **conscientiousness** in handling complaints over handling complaints against authorised psychologists.

### ●The Danish Supervisory Board of Psychological Practice members and Secretariat

**Nævnets medlemmer pr. maj 2014:**

Ministeriet for Børn, Ligestilling, Integration og Sociale Forhold:

Formand, kontorchef Eva Pedersen

Ministeriet for Sundhed og forebyggelse:

Chefkonsulent Eva Bidstrup

Ministeriet for Børn og Undervisning:

Centerchef Ane Stalknecht

Kommunernes Landsforening:

Direktør Knud Sterregaard

Danske Regioner:

Regionsrådsmedlem Peter Therkildsen

Københavns og Frederiksberg Kommuner:

Anne Marie Geisler Andersen

Danske Handicaporganisationer:

Lærer Elsebeth Lundsteen

**\*Dansk Psykolog Forening:**

Psykolog Rikke Schwartz\*

Psykolog Line Thatt Jensen\*

#### **Psykolognævnets sekretariat:**

Sekretariatschef Frode Svendsen

Fuldmægtig Krystyna Paulina Jensen

Fuldmægtig Tina Simonsen

Fuldmægtig Camilla Spanggaard Stokholm

Sekretær Majken Rubæk Lindegaard

([source](#))

**NOTE:** I neither deny nor confirm the involvement of any of the above listed persons with this case. You should take a very close look at which other organisations the members of the board belong to.

#### **•The Danish Supervisory Board of Psychological Practice Involvement**

My complaint to The Danish Supervisory Board of Psychological Practice over the psychologist who performed an invalid Child Welfare Investigation was mis-handled, even though it is very clear that the minimum requirements for the Child Welfare Investigation was not met.

I will go in to more details about my complaint to The Danish Supervisory Board of Psychological Practice later on in this article.

#### **4) ABOUT STATSFORVALTNINGEN (and its involvement)**



#### **•Who are Statsforvaltningen?**

**Statsforvaltningen (Danish State Administration) is the state authority that "has been established as the state authority that handles tasks in areas where it is considered to be an advantage that administration and related contact between authorities and citizens are taken care of at local level"**

Statsforvaltningen handles cases relating to the following areas:-

[Adoption](#) • [Childs support](#) • [Citizenship](#) • [Complaints regarding building regulations](#) • [Custody and residence](#) • [Divorce](#) • [EU residence](#) • [Guardianship](#) • [Paternity](#) • [Separation](#) • [Spousal support](#) • [Supervision of municipalities](#)

#### •Statsforvaltningen's Direktør



**PHOTO:** Statsforvaltningen Direktør Helle Haxgart ([source](#))

Statsforvaltningen Direktør is Helle Haxgart. **I believe that Direktør Helle Haxgart should resign immediately**, and more information is contained in my article ["Justitsmord" Cover-up : Should Statsforvaltningen Direktør Helle Haxgart Resign \(be fired\)?](#)

•**Statsforvaltningen's involvement:** Due to my children's mother's false accusations and her request to reduce my time with my children, [Statsforvaltningen](#) conducted an **invalid 'børnesagkyndige undersøgelser'** (**English:** child welfare investigation), and then [Statsforvaltningen](#) proceeded to illegally and fraudulently change and reduce my time with my children from a 7day/7day arrangement to 10day/7day arrangement in favour of my children's mother.

**Later in this article I explain exactly "how they did it" and the reason for "my children's mother's false accusations".**

• **The situation is very serious:** Every decision made by [Statsforvaltningen](#), [Ankerstyrelsen](#) [Familiereftsafdeling](#), [Psykolognævnet](#), and Kommunes - especially those involving **'børnesagkyndige undersøgelser'** (**English:** child welfare investigation) conducted by **psychologists** who are members of [Dansk Psykolog Forening](#) since at least **2009** - will have to be investigated (and I believe by the police)...and people involved will have to **'resign'** (or be **fired!**).

#### 5) ABOUT ANKESTYRELSEN (and its involvement)



Ankerstyrelsen

•**Who are Ankerstyrelsen?** [Ankerstyrelsen](#) is The Danish National Board of Appeals that deals with, among others, appeals/complaints regarding issue/decisions relating to The Danish States Administration (Danish: [Statsforvaltningen](#)) and the local districts/councils (Danish: Kommunes).

•**Who are Ankerstyrelsens Familiereftsafdeling?** [Ankerstyrelsens Familiereftsafdeling](#) is a division of [Ankerstyrelsen](#) but focuses on, among others, appeals/complaints regarding issue/decisions relating to The Danish States Administration (Danish: [Statsforvaltningen](#)) and the local districts/councils (Danish: Kommunes) , relating specifically to Danish Family Law.

[Ankerstyrelsens Familiereftsafdeling](#) translates to *The Division of Family Affairs at the National Social Appeals Board* in English.

Previously, [Ankerstyrelsens Familiereftsafdeling](#) was called Familiestyrelsen.

## ●WHO IS AFDELINGSCHEF DORRIT SYLVEST NIELSEN?

At the times my case was handled at [Ankestyrelsens Familieretsafdeling](#) (Familiestyrelsen), [Afdelingschef Dorrit Sylvest Nielsen](#) was the person charged with the full responsibility for [Ankestyrelsens Familieretsafdeling](#).



**PHOTO:** [Afdelingschef Dorrit Sylvest Nielsen](#) (source)

[Afdelingschef Dorrit Sylvest Nielsen](#) was the Direktør for Familiestyrelsen which was [Ankestyrelsens Familieretsafdeling](#) previous incarnation.

Therefore, the responsibility for any problems relating to [Ankestyrelsens Familieretsafdeling](#) would naturally fall upon [Afdelingschef Dorrit Sylvest Nielsen](#). I call for my article "[Justitsmord](#)" Cover-up : Should [Ankestyrelsens Afdelingschef Dorrit Sylvest Nielsen](#) Resign (be fired)? resignation in my article "[Justitsmord](#)" Cover-up : Should [Ankestyrelsens Afdelingschef Dorrit Sylvest Nielsen](#) Resign (be fired)?

**IMPORTANT NOTE:** Afdelingschef Dorrit Sylvest Nielsen is not shown in the latest [Ankestyrelsen organisations diagram](#). Why?

●**Ankestyrelsen's guidelines:** The guidelines for a '[børnesagkyndige undersøgelser](#)' (English: child welfare investigation) are presented in 'Vejledning om børnesagkyndige undersøgelser' (English: Guidelines on Child Welfare Investigations) see [here](#) and [here](#) (in Danish).

'Vejledning om børnesagkyndige undersøgelser' (English: Guidelines on Child Welfare Investigations) has been drawn up by [Ankestyrelsens Familieretsafdeling](#) (Familiestyrelsen) in cooperation with [Dansk Psykolog Forening](#).

The Guidelines on Child Welfare Investigations contains, among other things, a minimum requirement (Danish: *Udgangspunktet*) for the work that must be done by the psychologist.

**It goes without saying that any Child Welfare Investigations that do not meet the minimum requirement set out in the guidelines is, of course, invalid.**

I will explain more about '[børnesagkyndige undersøgelser](#)' in the next section of this article.

Also later in this article, I will point out two dilemmas relating to 'Vejledning om børnesagkyndige undersøgelser' (English: Guidelines on Child Welfare Investigations) that [Ankestyrelsens Familieretsafdeling](#) now face.

●**Ankestyrelsen's Involvement:** My complaint over [Statsforvaltningen](#)'s decision to change and reduce my time with my children was sent by [Statsforvaltningen](#) to sent [Ankestyrelsens Familieretsafdeling](#) (Familiestyrelsen).

[Ankestyrelsens Familieretsafdeling](#) (Familiestyrelsen) deliberately was mis-handled my complaint.

**Later in this article I explain exactly "how they did it".**

● **The situation is very serious:** Every decision made by [Statsforvaltningen](#), [Ankerstyrelsen Familieretsafdeling](#), [Psykolognævnet](#), and Kommunes - especially those involving '[børnesagkyndige undersøgelser](#)' (English: child

welfare investigation) conducted by **psychologists** who are members of [Dansk Psykolog Forening](#) since at least **2009** - will have to be investigated (and I believe by the police)...and people involved will have to 'resign' (or be **fired!**).

## **6) ABOUT "Børnesagkyndige Undersøgelser" (English: Child Welfare Investigation)**



([picture source](#))

The guidelines for a Child Welfare Investigations (Danish: *'børnesagkyndige undersøgelser'*) are presented in the Guidelines on Child Welfare Investigations (Danish: *'Vejledning om børnesagkyndige undersøgelser'*). See [here](#) and [here](#) in Danish).

The Guidelines on Child Welfare Investigations has been drawn up by the Danish National Board of Appeals (Danish: [Ankestyrelsens Familieretsafdeling](#) i.e. Familiestyrelsen) in cooperation with [Dansk Psykolog Forening](#).

The Guidelines on Child Welfare Investigations aims to create uniform and high quality investigations and reports for use by the States Administration (Danish: [Statsforvaltningen](#)) or the law courts.

In order to create uniformity and to ensure a high quality for investigations, The Guidelines on Child Welfare Investigations stipulate certain procedures to be followed, considerations about conflict of interest, and sets the minimum basic requirement (Danish: *udgangspunkt*) for the content of the Child Welfare Investigation as follows:-

### **En børnesagkyndig undersøgelse bør som udgangspunkt indeholde:**

(English: A child welfare investigation should, as a starting-point , include:)

#### **1) To klinisk (afdækkende) samtaler med hver af forældrene – eventuelt også samtaler med forældrene sammen**

(English: Two clinical (substantive) interviews with each parent - possibly with both parents together)

#### **2) To observationer af samspillet mellem hver af forældrene med barnet**

(English: Two observations of the interaction between each parent with the child)

#### **3) Én samtale med barnet alene**

(English: One conversation with the child alone)

#### **4) Yderligere information om barnet og/eller forældrene fra offentlige myndigheder og lign.**

(English: Further/additional information about the child and / or parents from public authorities and the like.)

## 5) En gennemgang af erklæringen med forældrene.

(*English: A review of the declaration with the parents*)

Now, in Denmark, to guarantee "**minimum legal rights**" there is such a thing called "**retssikkerhed**" (see [here](#) and [here](#) - in Danish), and this in case, this means that when 'børnesagkyndige undersøgelser' are performed, they have to meet the minimum requirements set out in the 'udgangspunkt' (English: basis) as described in 'Vejledning om børnesagkyndige undersøgelser' (see [here](#) and [here](#))

The following document contains a short walk through of the Guidelines on Child Welfare Investigations in relation to this case.

[024\\_to\\_statsforvaltningen\\_kontorchef\\_14-02-2012](#) \*NEW UPLOAD\*

**My case proves that invalid 'børnesagkyndige undersøgelser' that do not meet the 'udgangspunkt' (English: basis) are being performed and then used to make illegal decisions.**

● **The situation is very serious:** Every decision made by [Statsforvaltningen](#), [Ankerstyrelsen](#), [Familieretsafdeling](#), [Psykolognævnet](#), and Kommunes - especially those involving '[børnesagkyndige undersøgelser](#)' (English: child welfare investigation) conducted by psychologists who are members of [Dansk Psykolog Forening](#) since at least 2009 - will have to be investigated (and I believe by the police)...and people involved will have to 'resign' (or be fired!).

**NOTE:** This section is to be expanded upon at a later date.

## 7) THE MOTHER'S FALSE ACCUSATIONS & 'SMOKING GUN'



([PICTURE SOURCE](#))

● **LIAR, LIAR!** Since the root cause of all the problems with this case is my children's mother's accusations, I will be reporting her to the police as part of my forthcoming police report (Danish: politianmeldelse) for breeches of several sections of Danish Penal Law ([Straffeloven](#) §146, §155, §156, §157, §163, §215, §261).

Furthermore, either my children's mother knows that she is lying **or** she really believes what she is saying - despite the facts being against her - and therefore she must be presumed to be highly-delusional.

I believe my children's mother knows that she is lying and has decided to take advantage of the racist & sexist Danish state institutional system (think about what this says about her).

**Let's face it:** If my children's mother's accusations were true, then she should have gone to the **police** a long time ago!

My evidence includes recordings (the smoking gun) that prove my children's mother is:-

- Violent
- Threatening - including a threat on my life
- Kidnapped and withheld my children from me in 2008
- Motivated by money - I have a recording of her stating 'that she will get the children's address to get all the money (børnepenget)'
- My children's mother having a psychotic episode.

The recordings have been part of the Statsforvaltningen case journal since 2008...and have been sent to the responsible ministries, and to the kommune in which I live, and this information will be included in my 'politianmeldelse'.

I have been told by several Danish people, both female and male, that it is *common* for mothers to kidnap children from the family home to make false accusations about fathers to [Statsforvaltningen](#) and the kommune, and for [Statsforvaltningen](#) and kommune to act in favour of the mother.

**I have decided to report my children's mother to the police for her false accusations along with staff from the authorities involved.**



([PICTURE SOURCE](#))

#### •Sexual Abuse Survivor

Without wishing to go into too much details about how I reached this conclusion, **I believe that my children's mother was sexually by her father (who is still alive, and therefore my children are at great risk).**

I had reached the conclusion many years ago whilst living with my children's mother, but I rejected it (for various reasons), the main one being not wishing it was true.

After we "separated" - meaning, after my children's mother kidnapped my children, and stopped withholding my children and I was given a 7day/7day arrangement via Ststaforvaltingen in late 2008 - I revisited my initial thoughts and did an analysis in early 2009.

I confronted my children's mother with my thoughts about her being abused by her father and asked her to get professional help on several occasions, but I was met by the proverbial brick wall.

Without going into details, an incident occurred where I had to chastise my children's mother for jeopardizing the safety of one of our children - to which **my children's mother became red face and full of rage and said "You mustn't tell about my Dad" (Danish: "Du må ikke fortæl om min far).**

To this day I do not believe that my children's mother is aware of what she said to me, much in the same way she is not truly aware of how she behaves as evidenced by the recordings that I presented to Statsforvaltningen in 2008 that have been part of the case file ever since.

Fortunately, I do not have to prove that my children's mother has been abused by her father, I only have to prove that my children's mother is

in breach of Danish Penal Code (Danish: Straffeloven)...thus removing her from the picture.

Read the following document explaining my conclusion:-

[024\\_to\\_statsforvaltningen\\_kontorchef\\_14-02-2012](#) \*NEW UPLOAD\*

(Document explaining my children's mother's problems)

### ● **Personality Disorder**

**My children's mother's behaviour is totally and rationally explainable within in the context of 'primitive/immature defensive mechanism' and 'borderline personality disorder'.**

Furthermore, due to a factor called '[comorbidity](#)', if a person meets the requirements to be diagnosed with one '[personality disorder](#)', that person will also meet the requirements to be diagnosed with another [personality disorder](#).

'[Comorbidity](#)' is further explained due to the fact that the criteria listing for each personality disorder has some 'overlap'. For example, a 'lack of empathy' is a 'trait' that is common to borderline, narcissist, antisocial, and a psychopathic disorder.

'[Comorbidity](#)' explains 'why' a particular person will appear to behave like a **borderline**, a **narcissist**, an **antisocial**, and a **psychopath**.

In the preface to book "[PSYCHOPATHY : Antisocial, Criminal, and Violent Behavior](#)" the following is stated:-

*"The use of the psychopathy concept in clinical settings has for different reason faded away in many countries in Europe.*

*Nevertheless, there is a close and inverse relationship between psychopathy and borderline diagnosis, which was illustrated in a study in Denmark.*

***Patients who would have earlier been diagnosed as having psychopathic deviances are now often labeled borderline."***

Understanding personality disorders, [primitive/immature defensive mechanism](#), [comorbidity](#) and how to deal with a person exhibiting related behaviours is essential.

**Keep your head cool, and stick (fanatically) to the facts, whilst thoroughly exposing the facts for everyone to see.**

**In fact, you need to collect enough information(evidence) to prove your case to the police, and then report that person to the police.**

Read the following article about dealing with an (ex)-partner who has [Borderline Personality Disorder](#).

### ● **["I Hate You - Don't Leave Me" by Randi Kreger](#)**

I also recommend the book '[Splitting: Protecting Yourself While Divorcing Someone with Borderline or Narcissistic Personality Disorder](#)'

## **8) HOW THEY DID IT**



([picture source](#))

Before the illegal change in my time with my children, I wrote to the Director responsible for the particular Statsforvaltningen offices that my case fell under.

The Director of that particular Statsforvaltningen replied by [letter](#) as follows:-

*Danish: "Jeg kan oplyse, at vi bestræber os på at levere en høj service, og at vi bestræber os på at være **neutrale** og **upartiske**"*

*English: "I can inform you that we strive to deliver a high level of service and we strive to be **neutral** and **impartial**"*

Read the letter for yourself in the link below:-

[002 Letter form the Director Statsforvaltningen 'XXXX' dated 01-07-2010](#)

It is clear from the information that I am disclosing here, that Statsforvaltningen are very **unprofessional** and very **biased**.

I have been told by several Danish people, both female and male, that it is **common** for mothers to kidnap children from the family home, and then to make false accusations about fathers to [Statsforvaltningen](#) and the kommune, and for [Statsforvaltningen](#) and kommune to act in favour of the mother.



([picture source](#))

#### How 'they' did it:

●1) [Statsforvaltningen](#) are doing invalid and unethical '[Børnesagkyndige Undersøgelser](#)' (English: child welfare investigation) involving psychologists authorised by [Dansk Psykolog Forening](#) (English: The Danish Psychologists' Association) that do not fulfil the minimum requirements (Danish: Udgangspunktet for undersøgelsers indhold) dictated in the [Vejledning om Børnesagkyndige Undersøgelser](#) (English: Guidelines for Child welfare Investigation).

The guidelines state that there must be "**at least two observations of the interactions between that parent and the child**".

Observations are allowed to be "home visits (Danish: Hjemmebesøg)". In this case the psychologist only did one observation or home visit (Danish: Hjemmebesøg).

See the following documentation:-

[001a 1st Page of Psychologist's report](#)

'Vejledning om børnesagkyndige undersøgelser' (see [here](#) and [here](#))

●2) [Statsforvaltningen](#) then deliberately make a false statement ([Straffeloven §163](#)) by stating that the 'invalid' investigation met the minimum requirements (Danish: Udgangspunkt) for '[Børnesagkyndige Undersøgelser](#)', as stated in [Vejledning om Børnesagkyndige Undersøgelser](#) (English: Guidelines for Child welfare Investigation)

See the following documentation:-

[010 Statsforvaltningens 'JUSTITSMORD' Decision dated 15-02-2011 page 6](#)

●3) Thereafter, [Statsforvaltningen](#), after deliberately making a false statement ([Straffeloven §163](#)), make a decision based on the invalid (Danish: ugyldigt) '[Børnesagkyndige Undersøgelser](#)' to (illegal)

change and reduce my time with my children from 7 days to 4 days out of every 14 day period - as called 10/4 weekendsamvær arrangement...isn't this 'JUSTITSMORD' ([Straffeloven §146](#))?

See the following documentation:-

[010 Statsforvaltningens 'JUSTITSMORD' Decision dated 15-02-2011](#)[see page 8](#)

●4) [Ankerstyrelsens Familieretsafdeling \(Familietsyrelsen\)](#) are then *covering-up* the 'JUSTITSMORD' by upholding (illegal) decisions by [Statsforvaltningen](#).

See the following documentation:-

[011 'Klage' Letter to Statsforvaltningen dated 21-02-2011](#)

[003 Familiestyrelsens 'JUSTITSMORD' Decision dated 16-05-2011](#)

[009 Familiestyrelsen Afslag dated 14-09-2011](#)

●5) [Psykolognævnet](#) are also covering invalid(ugyldigt) '[Børnesagkyndige Undersøgelser](#)', by upholding invalid(ugyldigt) '[Børnesagkyndige Undersøgelser](#)' that do not meet the *minimum* requirements set out in the 'udgangspunkt'...even though I prove that the psychologist involved was 'inhabil til sagen' and knew it before the psychologist did the '[Børnesagkyndige Undersøgelser](#)' that did not meet the *minimum* requirements set out in the 'udgangspunkt'.

See the following documentation:-

[001\\_to\\_psykolognævnet\\_09052012](#)

[006\\_psykolognævnet\\_decision\\_17-12-2012](#)

**NOTE:** More details of my complaint to Psykolognævnet are given later in this article

●6) The ministries responsible for [Statsforvaltningen](#), [Ankerstyrelsens Familieretsafdeling \(Familietsyrelsen\)](#), and [Psykolognævnet](#) have been informed in sufficient detail by myself of the problems...but they do not choose to act (i.e cover-up)

See proof in the documentation below:-

[001a 1st Page of Psychologist's report](#)

[010 Statsforvaltningens 'JUSTITSMORD' Decision dated 15-02-2011](#)[see pages 6 and 8](#)

[003 Familiestyrelsens 'JUSTITSMORD' Decision dated 16-05-2011](#)

[009 Familiestyrelsen Afslag dated 14-09-2011](#)

[006\\_psykolognævnet\\_decision\\_17-12-2012](#)

'Vejledning om børnesagkyndige undersøgelser' (see [here](#) and [here](#))

●**Forældreansvarsloven:** '[Børnesagkyndige undersøgelser](#)' has a legal basis in "The Law of Parents Responsibility (Danish:[Forældreansvarsloven](#)). [Forældreansvarsloven](#) is now in jeopardy.

● **The situation is very serious:** Every decision made by [Statsforvaltningen](#), [Ankerstyrelsen Familieretsafdeling](#), [Psykolognævnet](#), and Kommunes - especially those involving '[børnesagkyndige undersøgelser](#)' (*English: child welfare investigation*) conducted by **psychologists** who are members of [Dansk Psykolog Forening](#) since at least **2009** - will have to be investigated (and I believe by the police)...and people involved will have to '**resign**' (or be **fired**!).

## **9) ANKESTYRELSEN'S TWO DILEMMAS**



In my articles ["Justitsmord" Cover-up : Should Ankestyrelsens Afdelingschef Dorrit Sylvest Nielsen Resign \(be fired\)?](#) and ["Justitsmord" Cover-up : Should Knotorchef Malene Vestergaard from Ministry of Social Affairs Resign \(be fired\)?](#) I point out two dilemmas.



([picture source](#))

The two dilemmas are really two parts of the same dilemma, with one part being related to '**Vejledning om børnesagkyndige undersøgelser**' (English: Guidelines on Child Welfare Investigations) and the other part being related to [Kontorchef Malene Vestergaard](#).

## ● **Ankestyrelsen Dilemma No. 1: Disregarded Own Guidelines**



([picture source](#))

The rules/guidelines defining 'børnesagkyndige undersøgelser' (English: Child Welfare Investigations) are defined in 'Vejledning om børnesagkyndige undersøgelser' (**English:** Guidelines on Child Welfare Investigations) see [here](#) and [here](#) (in Danish).

Vejledning om børnesagkyndige undersøgelser' (see [here](#) and [here](#)), was written by [Ankerstyrelsens Familieretsafdeling \(Familiestyrelsen\)](#) in conjunction with [Dansk Psykolog Forening](#) (The Danish Psychologists' Association).

The current and previous versions of 'Vejledning om børnesagkyndige undersøgelser' (see [here](#) and [here](#)) are 100% the same in content, with a cosmetic change to do with the change of name from Familiestyrelsen to [Ankerstyrelsens Familieretsafdeling](#).

'Vejledning om børnesagkyndige undersøgelser' (see [here](#) and [here](#)) states the following:-

**En børnesagkyndig undersøgelse bør som udgangspunkt indeholde:**

(**English:** A child welfare investigation should, as a starting-point, include:)

**1) To klinisk (afdækkende) samtaler med hver af forældrene – eventuelt også samtaler med forældrene sammen**

(English: Two clinical (substantive) interviews with each parent - possibly with both parents together)

**2) To observationer af samspillet mellem hver af forældrene**

med barnet

(English: Two observations of the interaction between each parent with the child)

### 3) Én samtale med barnet alene

(English: One conversation with the child alone)

### 4) Yderligere information om barnet og/eller forældrene fra offentlige myndigheder og lign.

(English: Further/additional information about the child and / or parents from public authorities and the like.)

### 5) En gennemgang af erklæringen med forældrene.

(English: A review of the declaration with the parents)

Now, in Denmark, to guarantee "minimum legal rights" there is such a thing called "retssikkerhed" (see [here](#) and [here](#) - in Danish), and this in case, this means that when 'børnesagkyndige undersøgelser' are performed, they have to meet the minimum requirements set out in the 'udgangspunkt' (English: basis) as described in 'Vejledning om børnesagkyndige undersøgelser' (see [here](#) and [here](#))

**MISCARRIAGE  
OF JUSTICE**

([picture source](#))

My case proves that invalid 'børnesagkyndige undersøgelser' that do not meet the 'udgangspunkt' (English: basis) are being performed and then used to make illegal decisions.

**In short, [Ankerstyrelsens Familieretsafdeling](#) have disregarded (Danish: *tilsidesat*) their own guidelines 'Vejledning om børnesagkyndige undersøgelser' (see [here](#) and [here](#)).**

See proof in the documentation below:-

[001a 1st Page of Psychologist's report](#)

[010 Statsforvaltningens 'JUSTITSMORD' Decision dated 15-02-2011](#)

[003 Familiestyrelsens 'JUSTITSMORD' Decision dated 16-05-2011](#)

[009 Familiestyrelsen Afslag dated 14-09-2011](#)

'Vejledning om børnesagkyndige undersøgelser' (see [here](#) and [here](#))

All of the above leads to another very serious dilemma for [Ankerstyrelsens Familieretsafdeling \(Familiestyrelsen\)](#) as described below.

#### ●Ankestyrelsens Dilemma No.2: [Kontorchef Malene Vestergaard](#)

The most interesting thing about [Ankerstyrelsens Familieretsafdeling \(Familiestyrelsen\)](#) involvement in this case is that, the person who wrote 'Vejledning om børnesagkyndige undersøgelser' (see [here](#) and [here](#)) is a person called [Malene Vestergaard](#).



**PHOTO:** [Kontorchef Malene Vestergaard](#) ([Source](#))

[Malene Vestergaard](#) was [Kontorchef for Familieret](#)

1in [Ankerstyrelsens Familieretsafdeling \(Familietsyrelsen\)](#) at the times my case was handled by [Ankerstyrelsens Familieretsafdeling \(Familietsyrelsen\)](#) on two separate occasions.

The staff who handle my case at [Ankerstyrelsens Familieretsafdeling \(Familietsyrelsen\)](#) were **also** from [Familiernet 1](#).

What the above means is that the staff involved with my case from [Ankerstyrelsens Familieretsafdeling \(Familietsyrelsen\)](#) **knowingly** disregarded (Danish: tilsidesat) 'Vejledning om børnesagkyndige undersøgelser' (see [here](#) and [here](#)) that was written by their direct boss, [Malene Vestergaard](#) who was [Kontorchef for Familiernet 1](#)....**how can this be?**

**Dear reader, under which circumstances would you disregard guidelines written by your direct boss?**

#### ● [Minister Karen Hækkerup's Involvement](#)



**PHOTO:** [Minister Karen Hækkerup](#) ([source](#))

Now, I had specifically pointed out both this and the previous dilemma (which are basically two parts of the same dilemma) in my documentation to [Minister Karen Hækkerup](#) when she was Social Minister in late September/October 2012... but [Minister Karen Hækkerup](#) chose to do nothing.

See [Minister Karen Hækkerup](#) Hækkerup's reply below.

[002 Letter from Social Minister Karen Hækkerup 17-10-2012](#)(in Danish)

[Malene Vestergaard](#) is now [Knotorchef for Familiernet](#) in [Ministeriet for Børn, Ligestilling, Integration og Sociale Forhold](#) (English : [The Ministry of Children, Gender Equality, Integration and Social Affairs](#)).

**How can this be?**

Why was [Malene Vestergaard](#) moved/promoted from [Ankerstyrelsens Familieretsafdeling \(Familietsyrelsen\)](#) to [Knotorchef for Familiernet](#) in [Ministeriet for Børn, Ligestilling, Integration og Sociale Forhold](#)?



([picture source](#))

Even though I gave [Minister Karen Hækkerup](#) (as Socialminister) information proving that [Malene Vestergaard](#)'s own staff that were under [Malene Vestergaard](#)'s direct responsibility, ignored 'Vejledning om børnesagkyndige undersøgelser' (see [here](#) and [here](#)) that was 'written' by [Malene Vestergaard](#), why was nothing done?

**Isn't there something seriously wrong with this?**

• **The situation is very serious:** Every decision made by [Statsforvaltningen](#), [Ankerstyrelsen](#) [Familieretsafdelingen](#), [Psykolognævnet](#), and Kommunes - especially those involving '[børnesagkyndige undersøgelser](#)' (English: *child welfare investigation*) conducted by psychologists who are members of [Dansk Psykolog Forening](#) since at least 2009 - will have to be investigated (and I believe by the police)...and people involved will have to 'resign' (or be fired!).

#### **10) MY COMPLAINT TO PSYKOLOGNÆVNET & PSYKOLOGNÆVNET'S DECISION ("COVER-UP"?)**



The following documentation are the documents relating to my complaint to **Psykolognævnet** . **The Danish Supervisory Board of Psychological** - over the psychologist who performed the invalid Child Welfare Investigation.

**Psykolognævnet's decision** fails to criticise the psychologists work even though Psykolognævnet point out in its decision that the psychologist only made one observation of the interaction between each parent with the child.

Since the minimum requirements for the Child Welfare Investigation (Danish: '[børnesagkyndige undersøgelser](#)') clearly states that there must be at least two observations of the interaction between each parent with the child, **Psykolognævnet's decision** failure to criticise the psychologists work is clearly wrong.

#### **Is Psykolognævnet's decision some kind of "cover-up"?**

The [Ethical Principles for Nordic Psychologist](#) states the following in the second to last paragraph:-

The principles are a basis to consider complaints about psychologists ([source](#)).

Therefore, The Danish Supervisory Board of Psychological Practice has to take both The [Ethical Principles for Nordic Psychologist](#) and [The law act on the rights and duties of psychologists](#) (Danish: [Psykologlov](#)) when handling complaints against authorised psychologists.

This means that The Danish Supervisory Board of Psychological Practice has to also show **solicitude (care)** and **conscientiousness** in handling complaints over handling complaints against authorised psychologists.

**Psykolognævnet's decision clearly lacking in solicitude (care) and conscientiousness, and is inappropriate and unprofessional, and unethical (corrupt).**

The following documentation speak for themselves!

[001\\_to\\_psykolognævnet\\_09052012](#)

[001a 1st Page of Psychologist's report](#)

[002\\_to\\_psykolognævnet\\_14-05-2012](#)

[003\\_psykolognævnet\\_14-08-2012](#)

[004\\_psykolognævnet\\_partshøring\\_30-10-2012](#)

[005 to Psykolognævnet 09-11-2012](#)

[006\\_psykolognævnet\\_decision\\_17-12-2012](#)

[007\\_to\\_psykolognævnet\\_21-12-2012](#)

relevant documentation

[001b Statement from School](#)

[001c Statement from Day Institution](#)

[026\\_to\\_statsforvaltningen\\_dated\\_7-03-2012](#) \*NEW UPLOAD\*

[024\\_to\\_statsforvaltningen\\_kontorchef\\_14-02-2012](#) \*NEW UPLOAD\*

(Document explaining my children's mother's problems)

● **The situation is very serious:** Every decision made by [Statsforvaltningen](#), [Ankerstyrelsen](#) [Familierechtsafdeling](#), [Psykolognævnet](#), and Kommunes - especially those involving '[børnesagkyndige undersøgelser](#)' (*English: child welfare investigation*) conducted by psychologists who are members of [Dansk Psykolog Forening](#) since at least 2009 - will have to be investigated (and I believe by the police)...and people involved will have to 'resign' (or be fired!).

● **Report to the Police (pending):** I have a claim on breeches of several sections of Danish Penal Law ([Straffeloven §146](#), [§155](#), [§156](#), [§157](#), [§163](#), [§215](#), [§261](#)) by **staff** from the authorities and **psychologists** involved with my case.

### 11) PSYCHOLOGIST VS PSYCHOLOGIST

It is very clear from the documentation that I provide that the psychologist who did the Child Welfare Investigation did not the minimum requirements to perform the investigation, and therefore performed an unethical investigation that is in actual fact invalid, and that it was used to make an illegal decision by The Danish Stats Administration (Danish: Statsforvaltningen).

**The psychologist in question deserves to be openly criticised by other psychologists.**

**In fact**, it is not uncommon for psychologists who are members of The Danish Psychological Association to strongly criticise and openly each other on the basis of [ethics](#).



**PHOTO:** Psychologist Lars Diemer Cand.psych.aut. ([source](#))

One very good example of psychologists strongly criticising other psychologists, is when **Psychologist Lars Diemer** Cand.psych.aut. and **Psychologist Pia Scheuer** Cand.psych.aut. made the following criticism (which I paraphrase):-

**English (translation):** "It is common knowledge that if one is to make an invetsigation of children ... one has to follow the ethical rules ... psychologists who are doing investigations that do not even as a minimum live up to the general ethical rules, are problematic."

**Danish (original):** "Det er almindelig kendt, at hvis man skal lave undersøgelser af børn...man skal følge de etiske regler...psykologer, der laver undersøgelser, der ikke engang som minimum lever op til almindelige etiske regler, er problematisk."

The above criticism was made by **Psychologist Lars Diemer** Cand.psych.aut. and **Psychologist Pia Scheuer** Cand.psych.aut. in their article "[Man Gjørde Et Barn Fortræd](#)" for the The Danish Psychological Association members magazine.

Read in Danish "[Man Gjørde Et Barn Fortræd](#)"

**You know what?** I totally agree 100% with **Psychologist Lars Diemer** and **Psychologist Pia Scheuer**.

However, **Psychologist Lars Diemer** and **Psychologist Pia Scheuer** criticims **presupposes that they themselves follow the ethical rules and meet minimum requirements** that are clearly stated in any written guidelines for any investigations involving children that they themselves conduct or are involved with.

Why do I write the above paragraph?

Were both **Psychologist Lars Diemer** and **Psychologist Pia Scheuer** involved with this case?

I neither [confirm](#) nor deny the involvement of Psychologist Lars Diemer and Psychologist Pia Scheuer with this case.

## **12) Dansk Psykolog Forening's Credibility (SHOULD Formand Eva Secher Mathiasen RESIGN?)**



### **•Dansk Psykolog Forening's Credibility**

I have provided information to The Danish Psychological Association Chairman regarding the invalid Child Welfare Investigation and highlighted that there is a much greater problem...but The Danish Psychological Association has chosen to do nothing (*like all the other corrupt Danish State authorities involved with my case*).

The very last paragraph of the [Ethical Principles for Nordic Psychologist](#) it states the following:-

**English (translation):** "A psychologist who is aware that a colleague seriously departs from the ethical principles that is obliged to make the national psychological association aware of this."

**Danish (original):** "En psykolog, som er bekendt med, at en kollega i alvorlig grad fraviger de fagetsiske principper, har pligt til at gøre den nationale psykolog foerning opmærksom på dette"([source](#))

What is the **appropriate** and **professional** thing that The Danish Psychological Association should have done after being given information to them about one of their members conducting invalid Child Welfare Inverstigations, that are then being used by Statsforvaltningen to make illegal decisions?

Read the following documentation:-

[007\\_to\\_psykolognævnet\\_21-12-2012](#)

[proof\\_of\\_conversation\\_with\\_Eva Secher Mathiasen 04-06-2012](#)

[email\\_to\\_Eva Secher Mathiasen\\_08-06-2012](#)

**The situation is very serious:** Every decision made by [Statsforvaltningen](#), [Ankerstyrelsen](#), [Familiereftsafdeling](#), [Psykolognævnet](#), and Kommunes - especially those involving '[børnesagkyndige undersøgelser](#)' (*English: child welfare investigation*) conducted by **psychologists** who are members of [Dansk Psykolog Forening](#) since at least **2009** - will have to be investigated (and I believe by the police)...and people involved will

have to 'resign' (or be fired!).

●**Should Formand Eva Secher Mathiasen RESIGN?**



**PHOTO:** Eva Secher Mathiasen Cand.psych.aut. ([source Claus Bjørn Larsen](#))

I believe that **The Danish Psychological Association** are required to **expel** the psychologist in question who carried out the invalid Child Welfare Investigation.

I have informed **Chairman Eva Secher Mathiasen Cand.psych.aut.** of the identity of the psychologist in question.

In my telephone conversation (in Danish) with **Chairman Eva Secher Mathiasen Cand.psych.aut.** on the 4th June 2012, I asked here if it is allowed to have a error in the minimum requirements (Danish: Det vil sige en fejl i udgangspunktet for undersøgelsers inhold)...to which **Chairman Eva Secher Mathiasen Cand.psych.aut** replied "No" (Danish: Nej).

The above question is the kind of question to which there is only one credible answer. I believe it is necessary to go to court to get **Chairman Eva Secher Mathiasen Cand.psych.aut** to answer the question under oath on record.

[proof\\_of\\_conversation\\_with\\_Eva Secher Mathiasen 04-06-2012](#)



([picture source](#))

If The Danish Psychological Association do not [expel the psychologist in question](#), then I believe that Chairman Eva Secher Mathiasen Cand.psych.aut. should resign from the post of Chariman of The Danish Psychological Association.

**13) INSTITUTIONAL CORRUPTION, RACISM & SEXISM**

**Definitions** are important, as they allow the **identification** of the **criteria** used to make a **judgement**.



**PICTURE:** [Sir William Macpherson \(Retired High Court judge\)](#)([source](#))

In the UK, as part of the "[Stephen Lawrence Inquiry](#)", '[Institutional Racism](#)' was defined by [Sir William Macpherson \(retired judge\)](#) as follows:-

*"Institutional racism: the collective failure of an organization to provide an **appropriate** and **professional** service to people because of their colour, culture, or ethnic origin".*

The above definition can also be used to define **institutional corruption**, **Institutional racism** and **institutional sexism** against **fathers**.

It is clear from the documentation that I disclose, that the behaviour, work, and decisions by the involved staff (and contracted persons) from the authorities involved was '**inappropriate** and **unprofessional**'.

I have been told by various (white) Danes that the reasons for the problems with my case is because I am NOT a white ethnic Dane.



**PICTURE:** [The C.O.W.S](#) "Please, respect me like I am a white person" T-shirt Experiment. [picture source](#).

Interesting, as before the illegal change in my time with my children, I wrote to the Director responsible for the particular Statsforvaltningen offices that my case fell under.

The Director of that particular Statsforvaltningen replied by [letter](#) as follows:-

*Danish: "Jeg kan oplyse, at vi bestræber os på at levere en høj service, og at vi bestræber os på at være **neutrale** og **upartiske**"*

*English: "I can inform you that we strive to deliver a high level of*

service and we strive to be **neutral** and **impartial**"

Read the letter for yourself in the link below:-

[002 Letter form the Director Statsforvaltingen 'XXXX' dated 01-07-2010](#)

So a while ago, after my time with my kids was illegally changed, and whilst preparing my complaint to the Ombudsmand, I decided to write to the Direktør of the Statsforvaltningen with an analysis that explains why I believe that Statsforvaltningen are **corrupt**, **racist** and **sexist** against **fathers**.

Read and study the letter for yourself that I recently uploaded in the link below:-

[022 to Statsforvaltningen Xxxxx Direktør dated 16-01-2012](#)\*NEW UPLOAD\*

So, what we are talking about is a serious case of 'Institutional Racism' and 'Institutional Sexism'.

In the UK, '[Institutional Racism](#)' was defined by [Sir William Macpherson \(retired judge\)](#) as follows:-

*"Institutional racism: the collective failure of an organization to provide an **appropriate** and **professional** service to people because of their colour, culture, or ethnic origin".*

#### ● **The Context Of White Supremacy (The C.O.W.S)**

**RACISM = WHITE SUPREMACY:** In this particular case the word 'racism' is synonymous with '[white supremacy](#)'.

It has been stated by [Neely Fuller Jr](#), author of the "[Compensatory Code Book](#)", that "***If you do not understand white supremacy (racism), everything else that you think you understand, will only confuse you***"

'[White supremacy](#)', meaning that people classified as '**white**' are treated superior to people classified as '**not-white**'.

Within the context of '[white supremacy](#)', people classified as 'white' are treated superior to people classified as '**not-white**', by **mistreating/abusing** the rights of people classified as '**not-white**'.

The whole situation can be best described by use of the phrase '**[HIGH TECH LYNCHING](#)**'

Information on "***low tech or traditional lynchings***" can be found at the website [Without Sanctuary](#).

Am I dealing with people in my case who are exhibiting [The Psychopathic Racial Personality](#)?

#### ● **Past Examples of Danish State Institutional Racism**

Other examples of Danish state institutional racism include the [Tamil Case](#) (Danish: [Tamilsagen](#)) and the [Palestinian Stateless Case](#) (Dansih: [Statesløs-sagen](#)).

It is clear that in both the [Tamil Case](#) (Danish: [Tamilsagen](#)) and the [Palestinian Stateless Case](#) (Danish: [Statesløs-sagen](#)) that the cases in question were handled both **inappropriately** and **unprofessionally**.



([picture source](#))

**The denial of racism is absolutely crucial to its existence.**

Read (Danish) [Hækkerup: Racisme er ikke en generel tendens i Danmark](#)

Minister [Minister Karen Hækkerup](#) is an intelligent person, but has *apparently* failed to understand the concept of Institutional Racism and sexism against fathers.

#### **14) POLICE REPORT (Danish : POLITIANMELDELSE)**



([Logo source](#))

I have a claim on breaches of several sections of Danish Penal Law ([Straffeloven §146](#), [§155](#), [§156](#), [§157](#), [§163](#), [§215](#), [§261](#)) by Statsforvaltningen, the other authorities involved and by my children's mother. I am in the process of writing a police report (Danish: politianmeldelse), and I have also been in contact with Copenhagen Police (Danish: Københavns Politi).

Danish Penal Law ([Straffeloven](#)) [§146](#) reads as follows:-

**English:** *"Penal Code § 146: Someone who commits, whilst having jurisdiction or as part of a public authority to the right make judgments (decisions) on legal matters relating to private life, injustice in deciding the case or its handling, shall be punished with imprisonment of up to 6 years.*

*Stk. 2 If the act is committed with intent to cause loss of welfare for someone, then the penalty is imprisonment for up to 16 years."*

**Danish:** *"Straffeloven § 146: Begår nogen, der har domsmyndighed, eller hvem der tilkommer offentlig myndighed til at træffe afgørelse i retsforhold, der vedrører private, uretfærdighed ved sagens afgørelse eller behandling, straffes han med fængsel indtil 6 år.*

*Stk. 2. Begås handlingen med forsæt til velfærdsfortabelse for nogen, er straffen fængsel indtil 16 år."*

#### **•Statute of limitations**

Danish Penal Law ([Straffeloven](#)) [Chapter 11](#) contains information the Statute of limitations (Danish: [Ophør af den strafbare handlingss retsfølger](#))

#### **15) Challenging the Null Hypothesis and Conclusion**

The P - Value Interpretation Table	
Less than 0.01	Strong evidence against Null Hypothesis, Very statistically significant
0.01 to 0.05	Some evidence against Null Hypothesis, statistically significant
Greater than 0.05	Insufficient evidence against Null Hypothesis

([PICTURE SOURCE](#))

It is possible to calculate the probability of all the errors occurring by chance in a single case to determine statistical significance (**hypothesis testing**).

In statistical inference of observed data of a scientific experiment, the **null hypothesis** refers to a general statement or default position that there is no relationship between two measured phenomena ([source](#)).

In this case the **Null Hypothesis** would be that the Danish state authorities are **NOT corrupt, racist and sexist** against **fathers**.

My assertion that the Danish state authorities **ARE corrupt, racist and sexist** against **fathers**, would then be called the **Alternative Hypothesis**.

The probability of all the errors occurring in one case would then be used as the **p-value**.

If we more than generously assume that the **probability of each single error** occurring by **chance** in a case is **50%** (or 0.5)...then this is the same **chance** as flipping an un-biased coin and getting a particular side.

Therefore, **two errors** occurring in the same case would be  $0.5 \times 0.5 = 0.25$ , and this is the same chance of flipping an un-biased coin and getting the same side two times in a row.

**The flipping of an un-biased coin is a useful analogy.**

When **five** errors occur in the same case, we get a **p-value** (probability of occurrence) equal to **3.125%** and this is below the **5% threshold** that is normally used to indicate **statistical significance**.

**To continue the coin flipping analogy:** Five errors occurring in this case is equivalent to flipping an un-biased coin five times in-a-row, and get the same side of the coin five times in-a-row...**try it, to see how difficult that is.**

**Statistical significance** is the probability that an effect is not due to just chance alone...and probably due to some other factor (bias).

**My Conclusion?** In my case I can prove that **at least 5 people** from the the Danish state authorities involved ignored several so called errors that I have pointed out to them **several times**.

Furthermore, In my case I can prove that **at least 5 Danish state authorities** involved ignored several so called errors that I have pointed out to them **several times**.

**The 5 Danish authorities involved with my case are** 1) The district Council (Kommune) 2) Statsforvaltningen 3) Ankestyrelsens Familieretsafdelin (Familiestyrelsen) 4) Psykolognævnet 5) The responsible ministries ([The Danish Social Ministry](#) and [The Danish Economic & Interior Ministry](#)).

[My other blog articles](#) contain links to the case documentation that prove that I really have pointed out the so called errors to several staff from the authorities involved, and that I have done this several times.

The Danish state authorities and their staff have no room for plausible denial, and the probability of error is statistically significant.

Based on the documentation that I have linked to this and my other articles, the facts of the situation, and the less than 5% chance of probability that at **least 5 people** involved with my case and **at least 5 Danish state authorities** ignored several so called errors that were pointed out to them several times; **only one conclusion can be drawn:-**

**CONCLUSION:** The Danish state authorities involved with this case, along with The Danish Psychological Association, are institutionally corrupt, institutionally racist and institutionally sexist against fathers...without a doubt.

#### **16) IF YOU ARE A JOURNALIST**



([PICTURE SOURCE](#))

I call on several journalists to *write about my blog*...this way you can be free of blame, leaving me to stand as responsible for the content of my blog.

I do not expect journalists to take any more risks than I am prepared to take.

Copies of my documentation (including recordings) are ready, I will be contacting journalists regarding this case in due course.

Journalists and the public now have a chance to view the actual documentation for a case that will have epic consequences for the Danish legal system.

This is a truly unique opportunity for some very serious investigative journalism.

Several copies of my documentation (including recordings) are ready.

See the following 'anonymised' case documentation.

#### **17) CASE DOCUMENTATION**



([PICTURE SOURCE](#))

The following are links to the actual case documents to/from the authorities involved.

My documentation shows that for three years I have continuously battled with the system, and all the 'right people' know about the problems of the authorities not doing their jobs properly and breaking several laws including Straffeloven.

Except for the names of Ministers (who are in the public eye), most names and other information have been 'anonymised'.

Since there is actually quite a bit of information to anonymise and to upload, I have decided to do this piecemeal on a daily basis...**so check back regularly to see updates.**

#### **• Ministry Documentation**

More documents *still* to be uploaded



[000\\_to\\_oim\\_minister\\_margrethe\\_vestager\\_dated\\_21-10-2013](#)

[000 Letter from Økonomi -og Indenrigsministeriet dated 07-11-2013](#)



[01\\_000\\_to\\_sm\\_minister\\_anette\\_vilhelmsen\\_dated\\_21-10-2013](#)

[002 Letter from Social Minister Karen Hækkerup 17-10-2012](#)

[004 Letter from Social Ministry 24-10-2012](#)

[007 "Quid pro quo" to socialministeriet\\_15-04-2013](#) \*NEW  
UPLOAD\*

[008 "Ultimatum" to socialministeriet\\_06-06-2013](#) \*NEW UPLOAD\*

[012 Letter from Ankerstyrelsens Familieretsafdeling 04-07-2013](#) (Response to my "ultimatum" letter to social ministry 06-06-2013)



[Email from justitsministeriet\\_02-10-2012](#)

#### • **Statsforvaltningen Documentation**



More documents *still* to be uploaded

[002 Letter form the Director Statsforvaltningen 'XXXX' dated 01-07-2010](#)

[001a 1st Page of Psychologist's report](#)

[001b Statement from School](#)

[001c Statement from Day Institution](#)

[004 Statsforvaltningen Case Sent to Psychologist\\_24-08-2010](#)

[008 Statsforvaltningen Kontorchef 04-02-2011](#)

[010 Statsforvaltningens 'JUSTITSMORD' Decision dated 15-02-2011](#) **see pages 6 and 8**

[011 'Klage' Letter to Statsforvaltningen dated 21-02-2011](#)

[012 to Statsforvaltningen Kontorchef dated 25-05-2011](#)

[015 From Statsforvaltningen Kontorchef 08-06-2011](#)

[022 to Statsforvaltningen Xxxxx Direktør dated 16-01-2012](#)

[024\\_to\\_statsforvaltningen\\_kontorchef\\_14-02-2012](#) (Document explaining my children's mother's problems)

[026\\_to\\_statsforvaltningen\\_Kontorchef dated 7-03-2012](#)

[033\\_to Statsforvaltningen Direktør Helle Haxgart dated 19\\_12\\_2013](#)

[034\\_Statsforvaltningen\\_Direktion\\_dated\\_20\\_12\\_2013](#)

[035\\_Statsforvaltningen\\_Kontorchef\\_dated\\_09\\_01\\_2014](#)

#### • **Ankestyrelsens Familieretsafdeling (Familiestyrelsen)**



More documents *still* to be uploaded

[003 Familiestyrelsens 'JUSTITSMORD' Decision dated 16-05-2011](#)

[009 Familiestyrelsen Afslag dated 14-09-2011](#)

[012 Letter from Ankerstyrelsens Familieretsafdeling 04-07-2013](#)

#### • **Psykolognævnet Documentation**



[001\\_to\\_psykolognævnet\\_09052012](#)

[001a 1st Page of Psychologist's report](#)

[002\\_to\\_psykolognævnet\\_14-05-2012](#)

[003\\_psykolognævnet\\_14-08-2012](#)

[004\\_psykolognævnet\\_partshøring\\_30-10-2012](#)

[005 to Psykolognævnet 09-11-2012](#)

[006\\_psykolognævnet\\_decision\\_17-12-2012](#)

[007\\_to\\_psykolognævnet\\_21-12-2012](#)

[024\\_to\\_statsforvaltningen\\_kontorchef\\_14-02-2012](#) \*NEW UPLOAD\*  
(Document explaining my children's mother's problems)

[026\\_to\\_statsforvaltningen\\_dated\\_7-03-2012](#) (document explain why the psychologist should have be disqualified from the case)

[Etiske principper for nordiske psykologer](#)

[Etiske Principper for nordiske psykologer \(reglsæt 2008-2010\)](#)

[Etiske Principper for nordiske psykologer \(reglsæt 2010-2012\)](#)

[Etiske Principper for nordiske psykologer \(reglsæt 2012-2014\)](#)

[Jura for Psykologer \(se kapitel 7 - Habilitet\)](#)

[Psykologloven](#)

#### • **Kommune Documentation**

To be updated with more actual documents regarding illegal change

of 'bopælsadresse' in 2008, and other information.

[010\\_lawyer\\_til\\_council\\_district\(kommune\)\\_16-12-2008](#)

[011\\_lawyer\\_til\\_council\\_district\(kommune\)\\_20-01-2009](#)

[to\\_komunne\\_direktør\\_20-05-2014](#)

#### ● **Other Documentation**

[001a 1st Page of Psychologist's report](#)

[001b Statement from School](#)

[001c Statement from Day Institution](#)

[proof\\_of\\_conversation\\_with\\_Eva Secher Mathiasen 04-06-2012](#)

[email\\_to\\_Eva Secher Mathiasen 08-06-2012](#)

[Psykolognævnets beretning Januar 2010 - december 2013](#)

[Psykolognævnets beretning Januar 2006 - december 2009](#)

[Psykolognævnets beretning Januar 2004 - december 2005](#)

[Psykologloven](#)

[The Psychopathic Racial Personality](#)

#### ● **Ombudsmand Documentation**

The only institution that I believe is blameless, is Folketingets Ombudsmand.

Folketingets Ombudsmand chose not to investigate the case.

Folketingets Ombudsmand decision makes sense as I have a claim of breeches of [Straffeloven §146, §155, §156, §157, §163, §215, §261](#)

Breeches of [Straffeloven](#) can **only** be investigated by the police (and anklagemyndighed).

I will not be disclosing documents from/to the Ombudsmand.

#### ● **Links to applicable laws and guidelines**



([picture source](#))

- [Lov om ministres ansvarlighed](#)
- [Straffeloven §146, §155, §156, §157, §163, §215, §261](#)
- [forældreansvarsloven \(LBK nr 1073 af 20/11/2012 Gældende\)](#)
- [forældreansvarsloven \(LOV nr 499 af 06/06/2007 Historisk\)](#)
- [Vejledning om børnesagkyndige undersøgelser \(VEJ nr 72 af 27/09/2012 - Gældende\)](#)
- [Vejledning om børnesagkyndige undersøgelser \(VEJ nr 9735 af 18/09/2009 Historisk\)](#)
- [Statsforvaltningens 'Om Børnesagkyndige undersøgelser'](#)

winnie eldrup har anbefalet dette indlæg.

 Del på Facebook

 +1

## TOPNYHEDER



**Enhedslisten degraderer ordfører for debatindlæg**

**Sådan tænker kvinder om mandlige chefer**

**Kedelig overraskelse: Kniv fundet i kagemand fra Føtex**

**Joachim B. om ledige: Egoistisk at bruge andres penge**

**USA-medie: Danmark velkommer islamister**

**Koncernchef: Mænd leger "jeg er større end dig"**

**Dansk charmør: Har lært at tackle min angst**

**Skaldet sangerinde nægter duet med paven**

**Minister nægter at slå billige svin ned**

## SENESTE



(kl. 16:15)



**To mænd er anholdt med to kilo heroin (kl. 16:12)**

**Berørt Barroso tager afsked med Kommissionen (kl. 16:09)**

**Delebiler hitter selvom vi ikke bruger dem (kl. 15:57)**

**Vild med dans-vinder afslører favorit (kl. 15:53)**

**Tidligere overvismand som folketingskandidat (kl. 15:38)**


**Politiet leder efter våben hos Black Army (kl. 15:20)**

**Sønderjyske-træner undskylder dommerkritik (kl. 15:15)**

**FAKTA: Nye russiske restriktioner rammer disse varer (kl. 15:13)**

**Pernille Skipper får plads i Kontroludvalget (kl. 15:10)**

## MEST LÆSTE



**Test dig selv: Tilhører du overklassen eller underklassen?**

**18-årige Nikita fyret fra callcenter: Du skylder 24.500**

**Mystisk tankskib sætter kurs mod Danmark**

**Niels på 52: Jeg er Dovne Roberts modsætning**

**Derfor vågner du flere gange om natten**

**50+ uden dagpenge? Så smækkes kassen i**

**Wolfsburg smider Bendtner af holdet**

**Komikers dødsårsag endelig fastslået**

**Ny viden: Sukkersyge har smadret arbejdslivet for tusinder**

**AVISEN.DK**  
GØR ARBEJDET BEDRE

OM AVISEN.DK

TIP REDAKTIONEN

ANNONCERING

BETINGELSER

KONTAKT OS

Avisen.dk ApS • Islands Brygge 32D • DK-2300 København S • Tlf.: +45 27837130 • [avisen@avisen.dk](mailto:avisen@avisen.dk)  
CVR-nr. 31470021 • Ansvarshavende chefredaktør: Rasmus Emborg • [emborg@avisen.dk](mailto:emborg@avisen.dk)

Besøg Freeways andre hjemmesider

- |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>4fun.dk</li><li>Arto.com</li><li>ArtoGames.com</li><li>Baby.dk</li></ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Bladkiosken.dk</li><li>Blog.dk</li><li>Bolig.dk</li><li>Dating.dk</li></ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Erhvervslokaler.dk</li><li>Findroommate.dk</li><li>Lejebolig.dk</li><li>Plusbog.dk</li></ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Room Service</li><li>SecondSale.dk</li><li>Spigo.dk</li><li>SpigoWorld.com</li></ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Studienet.dk</li><li>Studieportalen.dk</li><li>The Bank</li><li>VirtualManager.com</li></ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Vix.dk</li></ul> |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|

Avisen.dk anvender cookies til at måle statistik og målrette indhold og annoncer. Denne information deles med tredjepart. [Læs mere](#)

OK

Web2PDF

converted by Web2PDFConvert.com